

**PAPILLION CREEK  
WATERSHED PARTNERSHIP**



**MEETING MINUTES**

**Partnership Meeting  
October 5, 2006, 10 AM to Noon - Board Room  
Natural Resources Center, 8901 S. 154<sup>th</sup> Street, Omaha, NE**

Attendants

The following were in attendance: Jeanne Eibes (Carter Lake Preservations), Lyle Christensen (HDR), Kent Holm (Douglas County), Marty Grate (Omaha), Joe Soucie (La Vista), James Kee (Omaha), Pat Slaven (Omaha), Mark Stursma (Papillion), David Goedeken (Bellevue), Rick Houck (Sarpy County), Mark Wayne (Sarpy County), Tyler Hevlin (Bennington), Sheri Larsen (Elkhorn), Emmett Egr (P-MRNRD), Paul Woodward (P-MRNRD), and Marlin Petermann (P-MRNRD).

Purpose

A meeting of the Partnership was held on the above date in order to discuss topics stated in the attached agenda. The meeting began at approximately 10:06 AM.

1. Introductions

- Marlin Petermann opened the meeting and an agenda and sign-up sheet were distributed. Everyone introduced themselves.

2. Stormwater Policy Adoption

- Paul Woodward reported that Ralston had approved the Stormwater Management Policies. Others, including Bennington, Gretna, Douglas County and the NRD had delayed their decisions. Elkhorn has passed Policies 3 through 6, but not the ordinance. Marlin Petermann noted that the NRD Subcommittee and Board would reconsider the Policies on October 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> respectively. A recent World Herald article dated September 26, 2006 was handed out. Major issues relating to Douglas County's and the NRD's apprehension was discussed, including eminent domain and G.O. Bonding Authority. Marlin Petermann provided a copy of proposed language within the District's Policy Manual which would adopt the policies subject to three conditions, including the future implementation of Regional Basins with the NRD Board's Approval and the recommendation that LID be required. The third condition drew the most discussion as it recommended that local detention, in addition to regional detention be required on all development sites. This concept was contrary to previous discussions with the workgroups. The Partnership felt local detention should only be required in those areas not upstream of a proposed regional detention basin.
- Paul Woodward explained that in order to proceed with a proposed fee system for regional detention, a final working plan and schedule would need to be developed for the construction of the regional detention basins. It was noted that the sooner this is

accomplished the sooner funding can be generated. A previous draft scope was handed out at the meeting and comments were requested at the next Subcommittee Meeting.

- A copy of the Draft Technical Memorandum reviewing how the policies were developed had been emailed out and was reviewed on the screen. It was suggested that more information be added concerning land consumption comparisons, other economic factors considered, location of regional detention sites, and other concepts of regionalism such as water and waste water. It was concluded that an updated draft would be provided via ftp and any comments would be discussed at the following Subcommittee meeting.

### 3. NPDES Permit Compliance

- James Kee, Nina Cudahy and Chester Black discussed the success of the World O! Water educational event held on Saturday, September 17, 2006. Over 600 attended and there was a lot of participant from the Boy and Girl Scouts. It was suggested that next year's event could be even bigger and better with more volunteers and presenters.
- Chester Black explained that all existing NPDES Construction Sites in the Watershed had been inspected and that a list of these inspections was available. He noted that voluntary compliance letters had been sent from Omaha to those found to be in violation. He explained that any further enforcement would be the role of each community if the violator didn't voluntarily comply. Jim Kee also noted that Omaha was putting together a training document for website so that each community could review inspection reports and stay up-to-date.
- Each community or county had submitted their Semi-Annual Report.

### 4. Other Items of Interest

- Jeanne Eibes invited everyone to attend the public planning meetings for the Carter Lake Community Based Watershed Plan. The first meeting was that evening and would be followed by several others. Visit <http://www.carterlakepreservation.org/> for future dates and times. The inclusion of Carter Lake in the Partnership was also discussed. It was decided that Carter Lake would be provided a copy of the interlocal agreement for their review prior to the next meeting.
- Jim Kee reviewed a recent article in which communities received reductions in the flood insurance rates by accounting for stormwater management activities similar to those being undertaken by the Partnership. It was suggested that the Partnership review the FEMA CRS program to discuss potential benefits.

### 5. Next Meeting Dates

- The next Partnership meeting will be on Thursday, November 9, 2006 at 9:00 AM at the NRD.

### 6. Adjourn

- The meeting adjourned at approximately 12:10 AM. An agenda, attendance list, and the handouts passed out at the meeting are available to those members who were not present at the meeting upon request.

Please contact Paul Woodward at 444-6222 regarding any questions or comments concerning these meeting minutes.

Z:\pwoodward\535\PCWP\Meetings\061005-PCWP minutes.doc

File: 535 "PCWP"